

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/500,395	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHOW ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b> Nicholas Woodall		<b>Art Unit</b> 3775	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Nicholas Woodall.

(2) Nathan Witsany.

**Date of Interview:** 23 June 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Prior art documents discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:10pm

/Nicholas Woodall/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3775

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative regarding the pending withdrawn claims. The applicant's representative called the examiner back on June 23rd, 2010 asking that all withdrawn claims be cancelled by examiner's amendment. Reference the examiner's amendment for more details..